

Near-infrared characterization of four massive stars in transition phases*

YANINA R. COCHETTI,^{1,2} MICHAELA KRAUS,³ MARÍA L. ARIAS,^{1,2} LYDIA S. CIDALE,^{1,2} TÕNIS EENMÄE,⁴ TIINA LIIMETS,^{3,4}
ANDREA F. TORRES,^{1,2} AND ANLAUG A. DJUPVIK⁵

¹*Departamento de Espectroscopía, Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata
Paseo del Bosque S/N, La Plata, B1900FWA, Buenos Aires, Argentina*

²*Instituto de Astrofísica de La Plata (CCT La Plata - CONICET, UNLP)
Paseo del Bosque S/N, La Plata, B1900FWA, Buenos Aires, Argentina*

³*Astronomical Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences, Fričova 298, 251 65 Ondřejov, Czech Republic*

⁴*Tartu Observatory, University of Tartu, 61602 Tõravere, Tartumaa, Estonia*

⁵*Nordic Optical Telescope, Rambla José Ana Fernández Pérez 7, ES-38711 Breña Baja, Spain*

(Received June 30, 2020; Revised July 31, 2020; Accepted August 10, 2020)

Submitted to AJ

ABSTRACT

Massive stars typically undergo short-lived post-main sequence evolutionary phases with strong mass loss and occasional mass eruptions. Many of such massive stars in transition phases have been identified based on their dusty envelopes. The ejected material often veils the stellar photospheres so that the central stars cannot be assigned proper spectral types and evolutionary stages. The infrared spectral range has proved to be ideal for the classification of evolved massive stars and for the characterization of their environments. To improve our knowledge on the central stars of four such dust enshrouded objects: [GKF2010] MN 83, [GKF2010] MN 108, [GKF2010] MN 109, and [GKF2010] MN 112, we collect and present their first medium resolution K-band spectra in the 2.3 – 2.47 μm region and discuss the location of the stars in the JHK color-color diagram. We find that the emission-line spectra of both MN 83 and MN 112 show characteristics typically seen in Luminous Blue Variable (LBV) stars. In addition, we propose that the presence and strength of the newly reported Mg II lines might be used as a new complementary criterion to identify LBV candidates. The spectra of the other two objects imply that MN 108 is an O-type supergiant, whereas MN 109 could be an LBV candidate in its active phase. We derive lower limits for the reddening toward the stars and find that three of all de-reddened fall into the region of confirmed LBVs.

Keywords: stars: early-type stars — stars: emission line stars — circumstellar matter

Corresponding author: Yanina R. Cochetti
cochetti@fcaglp.unlp.edu.ar

* Based on observations obtained 1) at the Gemini Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the NSF on behalf of the Gemini partnership: the National Science Foundation (United States), the National Research Council (Canada), CONICYT (Chile), the Australian Research Council (Australia), Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação (Brazil) and Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Productiva (Argentina), under programs: GN-2013A-Q-78 and GN-2014A-Q-36, 2) with the Nordic Optical Telescope, operated by the Nordic Optical Telescope Scientific Association at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, La Palma, Spain, of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, under program IDs 59-417 and 61-102 (OPTICON proposal 20A/011). 3) with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under program IDs 384.D-1078(A) and 088.D-0442(B), and 4) at Tartu Observatory of Tartu University, Estonia

1. INTRODUCTION

For most of their life massive stars interact with their environments through high-density stellar winds. They add chemically processed material into the interstellar medium (ISM) and modify the dynamics of their neighborhood depositing momentum and energy. After massive stars leave the main sequence, they can evolve through individual short-lived transition phases of intense mass loss or eruption events before ending their lives as supernovae. Moreover, as the stellar evolution is sensitive to the mass loss (Smith 2014), the amount of mass lost in each stage affects the subsequent evolu-

tion of the star (Maeder et al. 1980; Meynet & Maeder 2005; Georgy 2012). Despite their importance on the fate of massive stars, some short transition phases are not reproducible with the currently available evolution models. In this context, to improve our comprehension of stellar and galactic evolution, a better understanding of massive stars in transition phases is vital (Langer et al. 1994; Puls et al. 2008; Langer 2012).

As a result of the high mass loss or mass eruptions, massive stars in transition phases usually present large amounts of circumstellar material in the form of disks, shells or rings, with physical conditions that allow for the formation of dust and molecules. This circumstellar material often hides the stellar photosphere, so that it can be difficult to assign proper spectral types and to determine the evolutionary stage of the central star. Nevertheless, the material around the star provides information about the kinematics and the history of the mass loss through specific atomic and molecular emission features.

One class of transition objects is comprised of the luminous blue variables (LBVs, see Conti 1997, for a definition of the phenomena). Their progenitors are very massive and luminous stars. However, the physical state of these stars prior to or post the LBV phase is not well constrained, but seems to depend on the initial mass of the star. While the most massive stars ($M > 40 M_{\odot}$) seem to develop into LBVs shortly after they left the main sequence, their lower mass counterparts ($M < 40 M_{\odot}$) seem to turn into LBVs only after they have passed through the red-supergiant phase (Meynet et al. 2011).

LBVs possess relatively slow dense winds, with high mass loss rates. Moreover, most LBVs are surrounded by a nebula, presenting a wide range of morphologies, from ring-like shells to bipolar lobes and triple-ring systems (Nota et al. 1995; Weis 2001; Smith 2007). These nebulae are most likely formed from material ejected either during a giant eruption, or from phases of enhanced mass loss during the so-called SDor cycles. Starting from a regular blue supergiant (BSG) stage (quiescent state), the star apparently develops into a cool A or F-type supergiant (outburst). During such an SDor outburst, which can last from years to decades (van Genderen et al. 1997), the mass loss rate can be increased by up to a factor of five (Stahl et al. 2001; Groh et al. 2008) before the star finally returns into its quiescence state as regular blue supergiant. Only if a star has experienced a giant eruption or a full SDor cycle it is classified as LBV, otherwise only as a candidate LBV (cLBV).

Another group in transition comprises the B[e] supergiants (B[e]SGs). These luminous ($4 < \log L/L_{\odot} < 6$)

emission-line stars form a subset of the early-type supergiants. Stars within this group are known for their peculiar spectral character suggesting a complex circumstellar environment. Their optical spectra display intense and broad emission lines of the Balmer series along with narrow emission lines of neutral and singly ionized metals in both permitted and forbidden transitions (Cannon 1924; Henize 1956; Smith 1957; Feast et al. 1960). Moreover, these objects possess an intense near-infrared excess due to hot circumstellar dust (Allen & Glass 1976; Glass 1977; Stahl et al. 1983, 1984). The observed peculiarities can be understood in terms of a coexistence of high-ionized polar winds, also traced by the broad blueshifted UV resonance lines of highly ionized elements, and equatorial rings or disks (Zickgraf et al. 1985). The circumstellar rings/disks consist of low-ionized or neutral atomic material (Kraus et al. 2007, 2010; Aret et al. 2012), hot molecular gas, and warm dust (McGregor et al. 1988; Morris et al. 1996; Kastner et al. 2006, 2010; Meilland et al. 2010; Wheelwright et al. 2012; Kraus et al. 2013). This disk material is in quasi-Keplerian rotation and clearly detached from the central star (Aret et al. 2012; Cidale et al. 2012; de Wit et al. 2014; Aret et al. 2016; Kraus 2017; Kraus et al. 2017; Maravelias et al. 2018).

In contrast to the LBVs, most B[e]SGs are not reported to possess large-scale ejecta. The only exceptions so far are the three Galactic objects MWC 137 (Marston & McCollum 2008; Kraus et al. 2017), MWC 314 (Marston & McCollum 2008), and MWC 349A (Gvarnadamze & Menten 2012; Kraus et al. 2020).

These two different groups of stars (LBVs and B[e]SGs) occupy similar regions in the HR diagram (Aret et al. 2012), and to assign a star a classification as either LBV or B[e]SG is not trivial. Candidates for these two groups are identified through spectroscopic features or circumstellar structures similar to the ones associated with these types of evolved massive stars (e.g. Clark et al. 2003, 2012). However, optical and IR features observed in the spectra of B[e]SGs and LBVs in quiescence are practically indistinguishable (Oksala et al. 2013), and only subtle details could be used for a proper classification. For instance, emission from [O I] and [Ca II] lines, or CO bands are characteristic for B[e]SGs (Kraus 2019, see also Section 5). In addition, these two classes of stars can be separated based on their distinct locations in infrared color-color diagrams (Oksala et al. 2013; Kraus 2019). However, the lack of photometric measurements along with full spectral coverage often hampers a proper stellar classification. This is especially true for the large number of recently identified evolved massive

stars embedded within dense infrared shells (Wachter et al. 2010; Gvaramadze et al. 2010a,b, 2012).

In this work we study four objects with infrared shells, listed in Gvaramadze et al. (2010a) and proposed to host either a BSG or a cLBV as central source. To re-investigate and to improve their classification, we present and analyze the medium resolution K -band spectra of these objects. As has been shown by many authors (see, e.g., Kraus et al. 2014; Liermann et al. 2014; Arias et al. 2018; Kouniotis et al. 2018), spectral features in the near-infrared provide valuable complementary information for the classification of massive stars in transition phases. In addition, we present optical spectra and photometric measurements for two of them. Optical narrow-band images are obtained for all four, and near-infrared images for two targets. Our goal is to obtain supplementary information about the circumstellar material that can help to define the possible evolutionary states of the central objects.

2. THE SELECTED SAMPLE

From the catalog of Gvaramadze et al. (2010a) of evolved massive stars with infrared nebulae, we selected the four northern objects which have been proposed to host either a BSG or a cLBV as central source. In this section, we briefly describe these objects based on the literature status.

[GKF2010] MN 83: Using images from Spitzer IRAC and VLA, Davies et al. (2007) found a bright ring nebula in 8 μm and 20 cm, respectively, with a highly reddened star at its center (see their Figure 21). These authors associated the nebula to the source *IRAS* 18367 – 0556 (Becker et al. 1994), and remarked that this object is very similar to the cLBV HD 168625 (Robberto & Herbst 1998). The bulk of the radio emission at 1.4 GHz reported by Condon et al. (1998) is in the southwestern part of the dust ring, rather than aligned with the central star. Then, it is improbable that the radio emission comes from the ionized stellar wind. Instead, the radio emission might be originated from the ionization of the surrounding ejecta because of the presence of a hot central star, or from a fast wind pushing a slower, dusty wind ejected in a cooler phase of the star.

Gvaramadze et al. (2010a) reported an ellipsoidal nebula with a size of $30'' \times 45''$ in the MIPS 24- μm images, with a radio counterpart at 20 cm. These authors included this source in their catalog as MN 83 and classified it as a cLBV, based on the work of Davies et al. (2007).

Using Chandra observations, Nazé et al. (2012) confirmed the non-detection of X-ray emission from MN 83.

The closest X-ray source is the BSG GAL 026.47+00.02 situated at a distance of $\sim 30''$.

[GKF2010] MN 108: Gvaramadze et al. (2010a) discovered a bipolar nebula on Spitzer MIPS images with a size of $50'' \times 50''$. These authors also reported strong optical absorption lines of H I and He I, and numerous absorption lines of He II, being the strongest He II $\lambda 4686$ and He II $\lambda 5412$ Å. They classified this object as a BSG star.

[GKF2010] MN 109: Phillips & Ramos-Larios (2008) identified a ring-like structure around the star (which they named G052.4-00.0 in their paper) based on images from the Spitzer GLIMPSE mid-IR survey of the galactic plane and the MIPS 24 μm images. This ring-like structure has not been detected either in the IRAS survey or in DSS images. Neither have radio measurements been performed so far. The central star is seen, however, in the near-IR Two-Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS), based on which Phillips & Ramos-Larios (2008) concluded that the central star is an early-type supergiant at a distance of ~ 8 kpc from the Sun. It is associated with nearby regions of star formation. The radius of the infrared ring is of the order of ≈ 0.3 pc, and the extinction A_V toward the central star is of the order of 21 mag. Gvaramadze et al. (2010a) included MN 109 in their catalog as a BSG candidate with an ellipsoidal nebula having a size of $15'' \times 20''$.

[GKF2010] MN 112: This object is listed in the catalog of H α emission stars in the northern Milky Way (Kohoutek & Wehmeyer 1999). Associated to this object, Gvaramadze et al. (2010a) identified a round nebula with a diameter of $100''$ which is also visible at 24 μm (Wachter et al. 2010). Its morphology resembles that of the circumstellar nebula of the Galactic cLBV GAL 079.29+11.46. The optical spectrum of MN 112 is dominated by strong emission lines of H I and He I, and numerous lines of N II, Fe III and Si II. Based on the observed H I and He I emission lines, Wachter et al. (2010) classified it as a B-type star (\sim B0-B5). Due to the resemblance of its optical spectrum to the one of the LBV star P Cyg (Gvaramadze et al. 2010b), Stringfellow et al. (2012) and Gvaramadze et al. (2012) assign this object a cLBV status.

3. OBSERVATIONS

3.1. Spectroscopy

Infrared (IR) spectra in the K-band were obtained with the Gemini Near Infrared Spectrograph (GEMINI/GNIRS) in long-slit mode. The selected instrumental configuration was: a 111 l/mm grating, a 0.3 arcsec slit, and the short camera ($0''.15 \text{ pix}^{-1}$). This configuration provides a spectral resolution of $R \sim 6000$ in the

Table 1. Observed stellar sample. Identification number, equatorial coordinates (right ascension α and declination δ), and observing log.

ID [GKF2010]	α [J2000]	δ [J2000]	IR Spectra			Optical Spectra		
			Obs. date	Exp. time (sec)	SNR	Obs. date	Exp. time (sec)	SNR
MN 83	18:39:23.01	-05:53:19.9	2014-05-09	8×200	190
MN 108	19:26:58.96	+18:46:44.0	2014-07-05	20×280	120	2019-08-13	1800	40
MN 109	19:28:14.58	+17:16:23.1	2014-03-20	8×630	220
MN 112	19:44:37.60	+24:19:05.9	2013-07-07	8×192	270	2019-08-13	3600	100

spectral range 2.29-2.48 μm . For each target, several ABBA sequences were taken. A late-B or early-A type star was observed near in time and sky position to perform the telluric absorption correction. Flats and arcs were taken with every science target. The data reduction steps include the subtraction of the AB pairs, flat-fielding, telluric correction and wavelength calibration. The reduction process was carried out with the IRAF¹ software package.

Optical spectroscopic observations were done with the 1.5-meter telescope AZT-12 at Tartu Observatory of Tartu University, Estonia, using the long-slit spectrograph ASP-32 with a 300 l/mm grating. This instrumental setting yields a spectral resolution of $R \sim 1000$ in the 4000-7300 \AA spectral range.

The spectroscopic observing log is given in Table 1.

3.2. Photometry

Photometric observations were carried out with the 0.31-meter Planewave CDK12.5 telescope at Tartu Observatory. The telescope is equipped with an Apogee Alta U42 CCD-camera and Astrodon Photometrics B , V , R_C and I_C Bessell filters. All observations were calibrated by zero, dark, and flat frames. For measurements and transformations to the Johnson-Cousins standard system, the AVSO’s VPHOT service was used. Comparison stars were selected from the APASS² survey data release 10. R_C and I_C magnitudes of the comparison stars were calculated from SLOAN r and i filter measurements of APASS, using relationships described in Jester et al. (2005).

The exposure times for the photometric measurements in the four filters were 180, 120, and 60 sec for the stars MN 83, MN 108, and MN 112, respectively. MN 109

was observed purely in the I_C filter with an exposure time of 300 sec.

3.3. Imaging

Our objects were additionally observed with The Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) using the Andalucia Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (ALFOSC) between 2019 September 5 and 2020 June 20. The observations have been carried out with the narrow-band $H\alpha$ filter with a central wavelength 6577 \AA and a full width at half maximum of 180 \AA , covering the emission lines $H\alpha$ $\lambda 6562.82$ \AA and $[\text{N II}]$ $\lambda\lambda 6548, 6583$ \AA . The pixel scale of ALFOSC is $0''.21 \text{ pix}^{-1}$, and the field of view (FOV) is $6'.4 \times 6'.4$. The ALFOSC data was processed (biased, flat-fielded, combined) using the standard routines in IRAF. The total exposure time for each target was 30 minutes.

In addition, NOT’s near-IR Camera and spectrograph (NOTCam³) was used with the $\text{Br}\gamma$ narrow-band filter (NOT #209) centered at 2.163 μm to map MN 83 on 2019 September 13 and MN 112 on 2020 June 24. The NOTCam detector is a Hawaii 1k HgCdTe IR array with which the wide-field camera gives a pixel scale of $0''.234 \text{ pix}^{-1}$ and a FOV of $4' \times 4'$. The observations were obtained in beam-switch mode with an additional small-step dither due to the search for faint extended emission and the rather dense stellar fields. Every exposure consists of a pixel by pixel linear regression of a number of non-destructive reads, sampling the signal up the ramp until the exposure time is reached. For MN 83 we used 50s exposures and for MN 112 32s. Each exposure was flat-fielded, sky-subtracted, distortion corrected, and shifted using the NOTCam IRAF package³. The sky was evaluated with the OFF-source frames, and the flat-field was obtained from differential twilight flats. The final images were obtained by “median” combining

¹ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

² <https://www.aavso.org/apass>

³ See <http://www.not.iac.es/instruments/notcam/> for info on NOTCam and for info related to data reduction packages in IRAF.

all exposures leading to total exposure times of 450 seconds for MN 83 and 576 seconds for MN 112.

To supplement our images, Spitzer’s Infrared Array Camera (IRAC; [Fazio et al. 2004](#)) images in all four bands (3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0 μm) and The Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer (MIPS; [Rieke et al. 2004](#)) in the two bands (24 and 70 μm) were downloaded from the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive (IRSA). The IRAC images have a pixel scale $0''.6 \text{ pix}^{-1}$ and MIPS images $2''.45 \text{ pix}^{-1}$.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Spectroscopy

We present our IR and optical spectra in Figures 1 and 2, respectively, and the photometric measurements in Table 2. For all objects, these are the first medium resolution K-band spectra in the 2.3 – 2.47 μm region that have been reported.

Inspection of Figure 1 reveals that, obviously, none of the stars displays CO bands, neither in emission nor in absorption.

The K-band spectra of MN 83 and MN 112 are similar at first glance. Both present emission from the hydrogen Pfund series and from the lines of Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2.4047, 2.4131 \mu\text{m}$. The latter are even more intense than the hydrogen lines. Despite these similarities, there are also differences between these two spectra. First, the emission lines in MN 83 are extremely narrow, suggesting that the emission in MN 83 might arise in a nebula. Second, the K-band spectrum of MN 112 also displays the lines of He I $\lambda\lambda 2.3070 \mu\text{m}$ and He I $\lambda 2.4734 \mu\text{m}$, and an emission feature at $\lambda 2.3187 \mu\text{m}$ that could be N II. The emission of He I lines suggests that the central star in MN 112 is most likely of spectral type O, whereas MN 83 seems to host a B-type central source. The latter object has only one extra feature, an emission line at 2.42 μm , which might be identified as due to either Si II $\lambda 2.4199 \mu\text{m}$ or Ar II $\lambda 2.4203 \mu\text{m}$. All other features are likely artifacts resulting from the extraction process and telluric remnants.

The hydrogen Pfund emission lines are associated with the presence of a hot, dense, and ionized gas, either in form of a nebula or a wind. To determine some physical parameters of the hydrogen line-forming regions, we used the code developed by [Kraus et al. \(2000\)](#) and modeled the spectra of the Pfund series of MN 83 and MN 112. For the computations we assume that the Pfund lines are optically thin and follow Menzel’s case B recombination theory ([Menzel et al. 1938](#)). We fix the electron temperature at $T_e = 10\,000 \text{ K}$ (a typical value for an ionized wind or nebula, see [Leitherer & Robert 1991](#)). The shape of the emission lines can be

represented with a Gaussian profile. The width of the lines of MN 112 is $\sim 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and hence of the order of the spectral resolution, but is smaller for the case of MN 83. The maximum observed member of the series (n_{max}) is similar in both objects: Pf₃₈ for MN 83 and Pf₃₇ for MN 112. With those n_{max} values we derived hydrogen densities of $n_{\text{H}} = (6.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $n_{\text{H}} = (7.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ within the Pfund line-forming regions of MN 83’s nebula and MN 112’s wind, respectively.

The K-band spectrum of MN 108 displays only a single but evident, apparently double-peaked emission line around 2.427 μm . This line could correspond to a blend of two Si IV $\lambda\lambda 2.4266, 2.4278 \mu\text{m}$ lines, reported in emission in late-O supergiants by [Lenorzer et al. \(2002\)](#), but because of the absence of other emission lines, we cannot identify it with confidence. Other than that, the IR-spectrum of MN 108 appears featureless.

MN 109 presents weak and wide absorption lines in the 2.41–2.47 μm range that correspond to the hydrogen Pfund series. To guide the eye, we over-plotted a synthetic spectrum of a star with $T_{\text{eff}} = 10\,000 \text{ K}$ and $\log g = 3.0$, obtained using *synspec* code ([Hubeny & Lanz 2011](#)) with [Kurucz \(1979\)](#) models. The spectrum shows weak and narrow Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2.4047, 2.4131 \mu\text{m}$ lines in emission. The little emission features at $\sim 2.31 \mu\text{m}$ and $\sim 2.37 \mu\text{m}$ are residue from the telluric correction.

Only two stars had optical counterparts so that we could obtain a spectrum at optical wavelengths. These are the stars MN 108 and MN 112. In Figure 2 we point out the position of the more intense identified lines, together with the position of the DIBs and telluric bands.

The optical spectrum of MN 108 shows lines of H I, He I and He II in absorption, and resembles the one showed by [Gvaramadze et al. \(2010a\)](#). The presence of He II lines indicates a spectral type O, in line with the results from the K-band spectrum. The absorption feature observed at $\lambda \sim 5889 \text{ \AA}$ is most likely a blend of the He I $\lambda 5875.62 \text{ \AA}$ line with the Na I $\lambda\lambda 5889.95, 5895.92 \text{ \AA}$ doublet.

The optical spectrum of MN 112 presents several strong emission lines, dominated by hydrogen and He I. It is practically the same as that obtained by [Gvaramadze et al. \(2010b\)](#), extremely similar to the spectrum of PCygni), and the equivalent widths of the main emission lines remain the same.

We would like to add, that none of the two stars displays emission of [OI] in their optical spectra, which is one of the defining characteristics of B[e] stars.

4.2. Photometry




Figure 1. *K*-band spectra from our stellar sample. The hydrogen Pfund series is in emission in MN 83 and MN 112 and in absorption in MN 109. Synthetic spectra (modeled with the code from Kraus et al. (2000) for MN 83 and MN 112; and from a Kurucz (1979) model of a star with $T_{\text{eff}} = 10\,000$ K and $\log g = 3.0$ for MN 109) are plotted in red. The arrows point out other intense spectral lines observed in this spectral range.

Turning now to the photometric measurements, our observations confirm that MN 83 and MN 109 have no optical counterpart. Both stars remained undetected on our $BVR_C I_C$ images due to the possibly very high extinction toward these sources (see Sect. 5.3). Through a comparison with the source Gaia DR2 4256466388864127104, which is the faintest source in the same field of view with a Gaia magnitude (G_{RP}) measurement, we conclude that MN 83 is fainter than $G_{\text{RP}} = 15.5$ mag. In the field of view of MN 109, it is the source Gaia DR2 4322588299401926656 that allows us to conclude that our target is fainter than $G_{\text{RP}} = 18.5$ mag. These limits have been added to the measured magnitudes in Table 2.

For the other two objects, MN 108 and MN 112, we determined their magnitudes in the Johnson-Cousins $BVR_C I_C$ photometry. We wish to point out that our I_C magnitudes are the first measurements for both objects.

The observed B and V magnitudes from MN 112 are in good agreement with those reported in APASS. We note, however, that our BVR_C magnitudes for both objects deviate by 0.5 – 1.0 mag from the NOMAD photometric values listed in Gvaramadze et al. (2010a). This could suggest that the objects are photometric variables. All values are included in Table 2.

4.3. Imaging

The star MN 83 is particularly interesting, because its *K*-band spectrum indicates an ionized gas nebula in addition to the elliptic dusty nebula detected at 8 μm (Davies et al. 2007) and at 24 μm (Gvaramadze et al. 2010a). Therefore, we searched for further traces of the nebula at different wavelengths. First, we inspected all four bands of the IRAC frames at 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0 μm . We found that the nebula is visible also at shorter wavelength than 8.0 μm , this being the frame




Figure 2. Optical spectra of MN 108 and MN 112. The arrows point out the position of the most intense identified lines, together with the position of the identified diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs) and the telluric bands (pointed out with the symbol \oplus).

Table 2. Johnson-Cousins BVR_CI_C photometry and IR colors (based on their 2MASS magnitudes) of our stellar sample.

ID	B	V	R_C	I_C	$J - H$	$H - K_s$
MN 83	$>15.5^a$...	0.545 ± 0.041	0.320 ± 0.040
MN 108 ^b	18.430 ± 0.343	16.107 ± 0.343	14.823 ± 0.048	13.521 ± 0.050	2.241 ± 0.062	1.132 ± 0.045
MN 109	$>18.5^c$...	0.841 ± 0.045	0.598 ± 0.045
MN 112 ^d	17.054 ± 0.099	14.538 ± 0.092	12.710 ± 0.029	11.128 ± 0.024	3.096 ± 0.067	1.695 ± 0.057

^afainter than $G_{RP} = 15.5$

^b $B = 17.69$, $V = 15.52$, $R_C = 15.53$ (Gvaramadze et al. 2010a)

^cfainter than $G_{RP} = 18.5$

^d $B = 16.42$, $V = 14.64$, $R_C = 13.68$ (Gvaramadze et al. 2010a)

where the nebula is brightest. In 5.8 and 4.5 μm the nebula gets progressively fainter until no detection at 3.6 μm . In Figure 3 we present the 8.0 and 5.8 μm frames. The nebula has a semi-major axis in the North-South direction with clearly brighter filaments toward the East and West. It is evident that in the North, approximately at the position angle of 25° (from North to East), the rim of the ellipse is broken or the material is significantly diluted so that the intensity of the emission dropped below the detection threshold compared to the rest of the nebula. The dimensions of the nebula at 8.0 μm are $44'' \times 28''$, slightly smaller than those at 24 μm . Without knowing the distance to MN83, no further calculations of e.g. its physical size or age can be done.

Moving on to smaller wavelengths, we additionally opted to search for extended ionized gas structures in both $\text{Br}\gamma$ 2.16 μm and $\text{H}\alpha$. The obtained images are included in Figure 3. No nebula has been detected at those wavelengths with the chosen total observing times of 30 min in $\text{H}\alpha$ and 7.5 min in $\text{Br}\gamma$.

The second object of high interest is MN 112 due to its previous classification as cLBV and its intense emission-line spectra both in the optical and near-infrared. Our optical image displays $\text{H}\alpha + [\text{N II}]$ emission almost over the entire FOV (right panel in Fig. 4). However, in contrast to the sharp ring nebula seen at 24 μm on the MIPS image (left panel in Fig. 4), the optical nebula appears to be smooth and with no clear substructure. Moreover, no pronounced emission was detected in the $\text{Br}\gamma$ line (mid-




Figure 3. Images of the MN 83 nebula. From left to right: Spitzer IRAC 8.0 μm , Spitzer IRAC 5.8 μm , NOTCAM Br γ , and ALFOSC H α + [N II]. On the IR images MN 83 is the brightest star in the middle. In the optical range (ALFOSC) the position of MN 83 is marked with the white cross. The intensity levels on individual frames are arbitrarily chosen to maximize the visibility of the nebula. The FOV of all frames is $1'.5 \times 1'.5$. North is up, East is left.




Figure 4. Images of the MN 112 nebula. From left to right: Spitzer MIPS 24 μm , NOTCAM Br γ , and ALFOSC H α + [N II]. The MN 112 is the brightest star in the middle. The intensity levels on individual frames are arbitrarily chosen to maximise the visibility of the nebulae. The white circle in the ALFOSC image refers to the size and position of the circular nebula in 24 μm . North up, East left. FOV of all frames is $6'.3 \times 6'.3$.

dle panel in Fig. 4), nor was there any nebulosity or ring structure seen on the IRAC bands (not shown).

Neither MN 109 nor MN 109 show any extended optical nebula.

5. DISCUSSION

As mentioned in Sect. 1 central stars of dusty ring nebulae might correspond to a variety of massive stars in transition phases, and in terms of blue supergiant central stars, B[e] supergiants and LBVs are the most likely candidates. In this section, we discuss the classification of our selected sample, based on their previous information and results obtained from their optical and K-band spectra.

5.1. CO molecular emission

None of the stars of our sample shows CO bands. Of all B[e]SGs with observed K-band spectra more than 65% display CO band emission (Kraus 2019). On the

other hand, LBVs typically do not display CO band emission (e.g. Oksala et al. 2013), with one exception, the LBV star HR Car, which occasionally displays highly variable CO band emission (Morris et al. 1997). Therefore, the non-detection of warm CO emission in MN 83, MN 108, MN 109, and MN 112 is insufficient to distinguish between an LBV or a B[e]SG nature of the objects. Therefore, other characteristics should be considered.

5.2. On the IR Mg II emission lines

As mentioned in Sect 2, MN 112 is considered a cLBV because its optical (low-resolution) spectrum resembles that of the confirmed LBV star P-Cyg, and because of the similarity of its nebula with GAL 079.29+11.46 (Gvaramadze et al. 2010b). Hence, we could assume that MN 83 is also a cLBV because its IR spectrum displays many similarities to that of MN 112. Both objects present emission from the hydrogen Pfund series




Figure 5. Yet unpublished portion of the SINFONI spectra of the LBV and B[e]SG samples of [Oksala et al. \(2013\)](#), highlighting the positions of the Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2.4047, 2.4131 \mu\text{m}$ lines. The “Q” label identifies the LBVs in quiescent state of their S Dor cycle.

and from the Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2.4047, 2.4131 \mu\text{m}$ lines, and in both stars the Mg II lines are clearly more intense than the adjacent Pfund lines. In contrast, the star MN 109 shows weak and narrow Mg II lines in emission while the Pfund lines are in pure absorption, and MN 108 displays neither Pfund nor Mg II lines.

To check if the lines of Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2.4047, 2.4131 \mu\text{m}$ are typically observed in emission in the spectra of LBV stars, we analyze the non-published spectral region around the Mg II doublet in the SINFONI spectra of the sample of LBVs and B[e]SGs from [Oksala et al. \(2013\)](#) (see Figure 5).

Of special interest for a comparison are hereby B[e]SGs with no CO band emission. Six B[e]SGs from the Magellanic Clouds were reported to display no CO band emission. Instead, all (except of LHA 120-S 93) show strong emission in the lines of the Pfund series (see Figure 2 of [Oksala et al. 2013](#)). From the LBV sample two objects, LHA 120-S 96 (= S Dor) and LHA 120-S 128, are in quiescent state of their S Dor cycle and, therefore, display a very similar emission spectrum as the B[e]SGs. The other four LBVs appear to be in their outburst state, in which they display mostly absorption-line spectra resembling cool(er) supergiants. In these stars, the Pfund lines appear in absorption (see Figure 3 of [Oksala et al. 2013](#)).

We inspected the unpublished spectral ranges (longwards $2.4 \mu\text{m}$) of these two samples of objects. They

are displayed in Figure 5. The left panel contains the LBVs, and the right panel the B[e]SGs. The positions of the Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2.4047, 2.4131 \mu\text{m}$ lines are marked by the arrows. From this figure, we find that all B[e]SGs, except LHA 120-S 93, have the Mg II lines in emission, and in all of them, the intensity in the Mg II lines is considerably lower than in the adjacent Pfund lines. For the LBVs, we can see that the two objects in quiescence also have the Mg II lines in emission, and in one of them (LHA 120-S 128), the Mg II lines are more intense than the Pfund lines, similar to our two cLBV objects MN 83 and MN 112. Interestingly, at least three of the LBVs in outburst (LHA 120-S 116, LHA 120-S 155, WRAY 15-751) show indication for emission in the Mg II lines, similar to our object MN 109. This suggests, that MN 109 might be an LBV in a current outburst state. The rather low effective temperature, pointing toward an A-type supergiant star, seems to support such a classification.

LBVs have already been reported to display Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2.4047, 2.4131 \mu\text{m}$ lines in emission. In their infrared atlas of early-type stars, [Lenorzer et al. \(2002\)](#) present the spectra of three LBVs (η Carinae, AG Carinae and P Cygni) showing these features (see their Figure 6). Moreover, the spectrum of P Cygni shows Mg II emission lines stronger than Pfund emission lines.

[Clark et al. \(2018\)](#) also presented K-band spectra for early-type stars of the Quintuplet cluster. They claimed that the Mg II lines in emission become prominent for




Figure 6. $J - H$ versus $H - K_s$ color-color diagram. LBV and B[e]SG regions from Oksala et al. (2013) are plotted in orange and green, respectively. LBVs are plotted with blue squares, and the blue continuous line is a linear fit to their positions. The reddening law obtained by Wang & Jiang (2014) is plotted as a dashed gray line, and a reddening vector for $A_V = 15$ is included. The position of the normal supergiant (SG) stars from spectral-type O to M (Worthey & Lee 2011) are plotted in grey. The red circles mark the positions of our objects.

spectral type B3 and later. Particularly, the three LBVs found in the cluster show Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2.1369, 2.1432 \mu\text{m}$ lines in emission. Only one of these spectra covers the region longwards $2.4 \mu\text{m}$ and it also shows emission in Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2.4047, 2.4131 \mu\text{m}$ lines (see their Figures 1 and 7).

Of course, our rather qualitative analysis, based on just a small sample of stars, requires to be repeated in more depth and with a statistically significant sample of stars. Nevertheless, the intensity of the IR Mg II lines seems to be related to the density/extent of the circumstellar material and could be considered as a complementary criterion to classify stars as LBVs.

5.3. $J - H$ versus $H - K_s$ color-color diagram

With the exception of the subtle distinction about the intensity of the IR emission lines of Mg II between LBV and B[e]SG stars highlighted in the previous Section, the K -band spectra of quiescent LBVs are practically indistinguishable from those of B[e]SGs with no CO bands. However, these two groups of stars fall in different re-

gions of a $J - H$ vs $H - K_s$ color-color diagram (Oksala et al. 2013), since the near-IR excess observed in B[e]SG arises from the warm/hot circumstellar dust, while the one in LBVs comes from free-free emission due to stellar winds. Thus, the IR color-color diagram can be used as additional diagnostics to discriminate between B[e]SGs and LBVs.

In Figure 6 we plot the IR color-color diagram and indicate the corresponding regions for LBVs (orange box) and B[e]SGs (green box) taken from Oksala et al. (2013). There, we plot with green triangles and blue squares the B[e] sample from Oksala et al. (2013) and the LBV stars listed in Clark et al. (2005), Aadland et al. (2019) and Campagnolo et al. (2018). The blue solid line is a linear fit of the position of confirmed LBVs in the $J - H$ vs $H - K_s$ diagram. This linear fit is in excellent agreement with the reddening law obtained by Wang & Jiang (2014, plotted in a dashed gray line). This law has been constructed from a sample of giant stars based on the NIR spectroscopic survey project APOGEE, by finding

the relations between three NIR intrinsic colors and the effective temperature by fitting the bluest colors with a quadratic line. The red circles mark the positions of our four objects in this color-color diagram based on their 2MASS magnitudes (included in Table 2). All of them are distributed along the traced reddening curve and in line with confirmed LBVs, implying that, depending on their intrinsic reddening values, their unreddened colors would place them into the box of confirmed LBVs or on the SG sequence.

Using the $E(B - V)$ values from the literature and assuming a total-to-selective extinction ratio $R_V = A_V/E(B - V) = 3.1$ (Schultz & Wiemer 1975), we obtained the corresponding extinction values A_V for the LBVs. For the two LBVs in the upper-right corner of the diagram, we obtained $A_V = 24.8$ (for the upper, qF 362) and $A_V = 27.9$ (for the lower, AFGL 2298). With these values, we estimated the extinctions for our four objects. If we consider that MN 83 and MN 112 are cLBVs, their de-reddened $J - H$ versus $H - K_s$ values should fall in the LBV region. With that restriction, we found lower limits of $A_V \sim 23$ for MN 83 and $A_V \sim 4$ for MN 112. In a similar way, if we de-redden the position of MN 109 and MN 108 in the color-color diagram with the lower limit of A_V , i.e., when they cross the threshold of the LBV domain, we obtain $A_V \sim 16$ and $A_V \sim 5$, respectively. In the case of MN 109 the needed extinction is lower than that given by Phillips & Ramos-Larios (2008). The high extinction values obtained for MN 83 and MN 109 are consistent with the fact that both objects are not observed in the optical range.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We analyze four objects, which have dusty envelopes resolved on *Spitzer* 24 μm images: [GKF2010] MN 83, [GKF2010] MN 108, [GKF2010] MN 109 and [GKF2010] MN 112. These objects have been suggested to host either a cLBV or a BSG central star.

To improve our knowledge on such massive stars in transition phases and on their surroundings, we obtained the first medium resolution K-band spectra in the 2.3 – 2.47 μm region for these four objects, because this spectral region provides suitable information that can be used to classify evolved massive stars. For MN 108 and MN 112 we supplement the IR spectra with optical spectra and photometric BVR_CI_C measurements, as only these two stars have optical counterparts. We report the first I_C -band magnitudes of 13.521 ± 0.050 mag for MN 108 and 11.128 ± 0.024 mag for MN 112. We derived lower limits of $A_V > 16$ mag and $A_V > 23$ mag for MN 109 and MN 83, respectively, confirming their non-detections at optical wavelengths.

From our optical imaging, we found that none of the stars shows $H\alpha$ emission comparable to the infrared ring nebulae reported in the literature. However, MN 112 seems to be surrounded by extended, diffuse $H\alpha$ emission, with approximately 2.5 times the size of the infrared ring nebula (Fig. 4). Unfortunately, our $\text{Br}\gamma$ images of MN 83 and MN 112 also do not show any extended emission, whereas clear emission from their dusty nebula is seen at 8 μm and 5.8 μm (Fig. 3 for MN 83) and at 24 μm (Fig. 4 for MN 112).

MN 83 and MN 112 show characteristics typically seen in LBV stars. The K-band spectra of both objects display intense IR Mg II lines in emission along with emission of the Pfund series and they lack CO band emission. Their Mg II lines are more intense than the adjacent Pfund lines. Such a behavior is also seen in other LBV stars (see Sect. 5.2). The optical spectrum of MN 112 displays no [O I] emission, which would otherwise point to a B[e]SG classification. Moreover, the unreddened JHK colors place both stars in the loci of LBVs, so that a cLBV classification of both stars seems justified. One might speculate whether the dusty ring nebulae of these two objects might be remnants of either a giant eruption or S Dor cycle(s).

The situation for the other two objects is less clear. MN 109 seems to be an A-type supergiant star with $T_{\text{eff}} \leq 10\,000$ K and $\log g \simeq 3$. Its K-band spectrum displays the Pfund lines in absorption along with weak emission of the IR Mg II lines, similar to LBVs in outburst. Hence, MN 109 could be considered as a cLBV star in its active phase.

The optical spectrum of MN 108 reveals narrow photospheric lines of H I, He I and He II characteristic for a hot, O-type supergiant. The K-band spectrum displays only Si IV emission, in agreement with the classification of an O-type supergiant, but is otherwise featureless. The absence of pronounced wind lines in the optical spectrum might suggest that the star is a regular, non-LBV object. Whether the dusty envelope (the witness of a phase of intense mass loss), might still be a remnant from a prior red-supergiant phase can be neither confirmed nor excluded, but requires further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for the valuable comments that helped us to improve this work.

This research made use of the NASA Astrophysics Data System (ADS) and of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France.

This research was made possible through the use of the AAVSO Photometric All-Sky Survey (APASS), funded

by the Robert Martin Ayers Sciences Fund and NSF AST-1412587, and of the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive, which is funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and operated by the California Institute of Technology.

The ALFOSC instrument is provided by the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA) under a joint agreement with the University of Copenhagen and NOTSA.

We thank Shane Moran and Joonas Viuhko for obtaining the NOTCam images.

M.K. acknowledges financial support from the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (GA ČR, grant number 20-00150S). The Astronomical Institute Ondřejov is supported by the project RVO:67985815. M.L.A. thanks financial support from the University of La Plata (11/G160) and L.S.C. acknowledges financial support from CONICET (PIP 0177) and Agencia (PICT 2016-1971). T.E. acknowledges financial support from Esto-

nian Science Foundation institutional research funding IUT40-1 of the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research.

This project has received funding from the European Union's Framework Programme for Research and Innovation Horizon 2020 (2014-2020) under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 823734, and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 730890. This material reflects only the authors views and the Commission is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

Facilities: Gemini:Gillett(GNIRS), NOT, VLT:Yepun

Software: IRAF (Tody 1986)

REFERENCES

- Aadland, E., Massey, P., Neugent, K., & Drout, M. 2019, in American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, Vol. 233, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #233, 353.05
- Allen, D. A., & Glass, I. S. 1976, *ApJ*, 210, 666, doi: [10.1086/154872](https://doi.org/10.1086/154872)
- Aret, A., Kraus, M., Muratore, M. F., & Borges Fernandes, M. 2012, *MNRAS*, 423, 284, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20871.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20871.x)
- Aret, A., Kraus, M., & Šlechta, M. 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, 1424, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv2758](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv2758)
- Arias, M. L., Cidale, L. S., Kraus, M., et al. 2018, *PASP*, 130, 114201, doi: [10.1088/1538-3873/aadf23](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aadf23)
- Becker, R. H., White, R. L., Helfand, D. J., & Zoonematkermani, S. 1994, *ApJS*, 91, 347, doi: [10.1086/191941](https://doi.org/10.1086/191941)
- Campagnolo, J. C. N., Borges Fernandes, M., Drake, N. A., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 613, A33, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731785](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731785)
- Cannon, A. J. 1924, *Harvard College Observatory Bulletin*, 801, 1
- Cidale, L. S., Borges Fernandes, M., Andruchow, I., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 548, A72, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201220120](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220120)
- Clark, J. S., Castro, N., Garcia, M., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 541, A146, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201118440](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201118440)
- Clark, J. S., Egan, M. P., Crowther, P. A., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 412, 185, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20031372](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20031372)
- Clark, J. S., Larionov, V. M., & Arkharov, A. 2005, *A&A*, 435, 239, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20042563](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20042563)
- Clark, J. S., Lohr, M. E., Patrick, L. R., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 618, A2, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833041](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833041)
- Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Greisen, E. W., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1693, doi: [10.1086/300337](https://doi.org/10.1086/300337)
- Conti, P. S. 1997, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 120, *Luminous Blue Variables: Massive Stars in Transition*, ed. A. Nota & H. Lamers, 387
- Davies, B., Figer, D. F., Kudritzki, R.-P., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 671, 781, doi: [10.1086/522224](https://doi.org/10.1086/522224)
- de Wit, W. J., Oudmaijer, R. D., & Vink, J. S. 2014, *Advances in Astronomy*, 2014, 270848, doi: [10.1155/2014/270848](https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/270848)
- Fazio, G. G., Hora, J. L., Allen, L. E., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 10, doi: [10.1086/422843](https://doi.org/10.1086/422843)
- Feast, M. W., Thackeray, A. D., & Wesselink, A. J. 1960, *MNRAS*, 121, 337, doi: [10.1093/mnras/121.4.337](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/121.4.337)
- Georgy, C. 2012, *A&A*, 538, L8, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201118372](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201118372)
- Glass, I. S. 1977, *MNRAS*, 178, 9P, doi: [10.1093/mnras/178.1.9P](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/178.1.9P)
- Groh, J. H., Daminieli, A., & Hillier, D. J. 2008, in *Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica Conference Series*, Vol. 33, *Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica Conference Series*, 132–134
- Gvaramadze, V. V., Kniazev, A. Y., & Fabrika, S. 2010a, *MNRAS*, 405, 1047, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16496.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16496.x)

- Gvaramadze, V. V., Kniazev, A. Y., Fabrika, S., et al. 2010b, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 405, 520, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16469.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16469.x)
- Gvaramadze, V. V., & Menten, K. M. 2012, *A&A*, 541, A7, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201218841](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201218841)
- Gvaramadze, V. V., Kniazev, A. Y., Miroshnichenko, A. S., et al. 2012, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 421, 3325, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20556.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20556.x)
- Henize, K. G. 1956, *ApJS*, 2, 315, doi: [10.1086/190025](https://doi.org/10.1086/190025)
- Hubeny, I., & Lanz, T. 2011, *Synspec: General Spectrum Synthesis Program*, *Astrophysics Source Code Library*. <http://ascl.net/1109.022>
- Jester, S., Schneider, D. P., Richards, G. T., et al. 2005, *AJ*, 130, 873, doi: [10.1086/432466](https://doi.org/10.1086/432466)
- Kastner, J. H., Buchanan, C., Sahai, R., Forrest, W. J., & Sargent, B. A. 2010, *AJ*, 139, 1993, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/139/5/1993](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/139/5/1993)
- Kastner, J. H., Buchanan, C. L., Sargent, B., & Forrest, W. J. 2006, *ApJL*, 638, L29, doi: [10.1086/500804](https://doi.org/10.1086/500804)
- Kohoutek, L., & Wehmeyer, R. 1999, *Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplement Series*, 134, 255, doi: [10.1051/aas:1999101](https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:1999101)
- Kourniotis, M., Kraus, M., Arias, M. L., Cidale, L., & Torres, A. F. 2018, *MNRAS*, 480, 3706, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2087](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2087)
- Kraus, M. 2017, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 508, *The B[e] Phenomenon: Forty Years of Studies*, ed. A. Miroshnichenko, S. Zharikov, D. Korčáková, & M. Wolf, 219
- Kraus, M. 2019, *Galaxies*, 7, 83, doi: [10.3390/galaxies7040083](https://doi.org/10.3390/galaxies7040083)
- Kraus, M., Arias, M. L., Cidale, L. S., & Torres, A. F. 2020, *MNRAS*, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa519](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa519)
- Kraus, M., Borges Fernandes, M., & de Araújo, F. X. 2007, *A&A*, 463, 627, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20066325](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20066325)
- . 2010, *A&A*, 517, A30, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200913964](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913964)
- Kraus, M., Cidale, L. S., Arias, M. L., Oksala, M. E., & Borges Fernandes, M. 2014, *ApJL*, 780, L10, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/780/1/L10](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/780/1/L10)
- Kraus, M., Krügel, E., Thum, C., & Geballe, T. R. 2000, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 362, 158. <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0008213>
- Kraus, M., Oksala, M. E., Nickeler, D. H., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 549, A28, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201220442](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220442)
- Kraus, M., Liimets, T., Cappa, C. E., et al. 2017, *AJ*, 154, 186, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa8df6](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa8df6)
- Kurucz, R. L. 1979, *ApJS*, 40, 1, doi: [10.1086/190589](https://doi.org/10.1086/190589)
- Langer, N. 2012, *ARA&A*, 50, 107, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125534](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125534)
- Langer, N., Hamann, W.-R., Lennon, M., et al. 1994, *A&A*, 290, 819
- Leitherer, C., & Robert, C. 1991, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 377, 629, doi: [10.1086/170390](https://doi.org/10.1086/170390)
- Lenorzer, A., Vandenbussche, B., Morris, P., et al. 2002, *A&A*, 384, 473, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20020032](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020032)
- Liermann, A., Schnurr, O., Kraus, M., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 443, 947, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1174](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1174)
- Maeder, A., Lequeux, J., & Azzopardi, M. 1980, *A&A*, 90, L17
- Maravelias, G., Kraus, M., Cidale, L. S., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 480, 320, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty1747](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1747)
- Marston, A. P., & McCollum, B. 2008, *A&A*, 477, 193, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20066086](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20066086)
- McGregor, P. J., Hillier, D. J., & Hyland, A. R. 1988, *ApJ*, 334, 639, doi: [10.1086/166867](https://doi.org/10.1086/166867)
- Meilland, A., Kanaan, S., Borges Fernandes, M., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 512, A73, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200913640](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913640)
- Menzel, D. H., Aller, L. H., & Baker, J. G. 1938, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 88, 313, doi: [10.1086/143985](https://doi.org/10.1086/143985)
- Meynet, G., Georgy, C., Hirschi, R., et al. 2011, *Bulletin de la Societe Royale des Sciences de Liege*, 80, 266. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.5873>
- Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 2005, *A&A*, 429, 581, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20047106](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20047106)
- Morris, P. W., Eenens, P. R. J., Hanson, M. M., Conti, P. S., & Blum, R. D. 1996, *ApJ*, 470, 597, doi: [10.1086/177892](https://doi.org/10.1086/177892)
- Morris, P. W., Voors, R. H. M., Lamers, H. J. G. L. M., & Eenens, P. R. J. 1997, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 120, *Luminous Blue Variables: Massive Stars in Transition*, ed. A. Nota & H. Lamers, 20
- Nazé, Y., Rauw, G., & Hutsemékers, D. 2012, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 538, A47, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201118040](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201118040)
- Nota, A., Livio, M., Clampin, M., & Schulte-Ladbeck, R. 1995, *ApJ*, 448, 788, doi: [10.1086/176006](https://doi.org/10.1086/176006)
- Oksala, M. E., Kraus, M., Cidale, L. S., Muratore, M. F., & Borges Fernandes, M. 2013, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 558, A17, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201321568](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321568)
- Phillips, J. P., & Ramos-Larios, G. 2008, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 387, 407, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13244.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13244.x)
- Puls, J., Vink, J. S., & Najarro, F. 2008, *A&A Rv*, 16, 209, doi: [10.1007/s00159-008-0015-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-008-0015-8)
- Rieke, G. H., Young, E. T., Engelbracht, C. W., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 25, doi: [10.1086/422717](https://doi.org/10.1086/422717)
- Robberto, M., & Herbst, T. M. 1998, *ApJ*, 498, 400, doi: [10.1086/305519](https://doi.org/10.1086/305519)

- Schultz, G. V., & Wiemer, W. 1975, *A&A*, 43, 133
- Smith, H. J. 1957, *PASP*, 69, 137, doi: [10.1086/127032](https://doi.org/10.1086/127032)
- Smith, N. 2007, *AJ*, 133, 1034, doi: [10.1086/510838](https://doi.org/10.1086/510838)
- . 2014, *ARA&A*, 52, 487, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-040025](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-040025)
- Stahl, O., Jankovics, I., Kovács, J., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 375, 54, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20010824](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20010824)
- Stahl, O., Leitherer, C., Wolf, B., & Zickgraf, F. J. 1984, *A&A*, 131, L5
- Stahl, O., Wolf, B., Zickgraf, F. J., et al. 1983, *A&A*, 120, 287
- Stringfellow, G. S., Gvaramadze, V. V., Beletsky, Y., & Kniazev, A. Y. 2012, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 465, *Proceedings of a Scientific Meeting in Honor of Anthony F. J. Moffat*, ed. L. Drissen, C. Robert, N. St-Louis, & A. F. J. Moffat, 514
- Tody, D. 1986, in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Vol. 627, *Proc. SPIE*, ed. D. L. Crawford, 733
- van Genderen, A. M., de Groot, M., & Sterken, C. 1997, *A&AS*, 124, 517, doi: [10.1051/aas:1997204](https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:1997204)
- Wachter, S., Mauerhan, J. C., Van Dyk, S. D., et al. 2010, *The Astronomical Journal*, 139, 2330, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/139/6/2330](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/139/6/2330)
- Wang, S., & Jiang, B. W. 2014, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 788, L12, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/788/1/112](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/788/1/112)
- Weis, K. 2001, *Reviews in Modern Astronomy*, 14, 261. <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0104214>
- Wheelwright, H. E., de Wit, W. J., Weigelt, G., Oudmaijer, R. D., & Ilee, J. D. 2012, *A&A*, 543, A77, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219325](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219325)
- Worthey, G., & Lee, H.-c. 2011, *ApJS*, 193, 1, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/193/1/1](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/193/1/1)
- Zickgraf, F. J., Wolf, B., Stahl, O., Leitherer, C., & Appenzeller, I. 1986, *A&A*, 163, 119
- Zickgraf, F. J., Wolf, B., Stahl, O., Leitherer, C., & Klare, G. 1985, *A&A*, 143, 421