

**Physics of Extreme Massive Stars** 

Marie-Curie-RISE project funded by the European Union



# **Determination of Fundamental Parameters of Massive Stars**



### Lydia Cidale

Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas National University of La Plata (UNLP) Instituto de Astrofísica - CONICET-UNLP Argentina



Río de Janeiro, June 24-28, 2024

**For a complete description of a star, the following set of parameters is essential**

- · Mass,
- Luminosity,
- Radius,
- Age,
- Pulsation period,

**For a complete description of a star, the following set of parameters is essential**

- Mass,
- Luminosity,
- Radius,
- Age,
- Pulsation period,
- Chemical composition,
- Angular momentum,
- Magnetic field,
- Mass-loss rate,
- Circumstellar environment (CE).

**For a complete description of a star, the following set of parameters is essential**

- Mass,
- Luminosity,
- Radius,
- Age,
- Pulsation period,
- Chemical composition,
- Angular momentum,
- Magnetic field,
- Mass-loss rate,
- Circumstellar environment (CE).

The determination of several of these parameters requires a **high-spectral resolution**. Most of them are integrated values and other vary across the disk, the CE or the evolution phase.

**Independent set of parameters are R\*, M\* and L\***

### **Dependent set of parameters Teff, log g, and ρ<sup>m</sup>**



- To test models of stellar evolution and stellar atmospheres.
- To precise the evolutionary phases.
- To improve our understanding on stellar pulsations, and rotation in massive stars.





### ● To compute synthetic spectra



- To compute synthetic spectra
- To calculate the wind hydrodynamics and mass-loss rates.





- To compute synthetic spectra
- To calculate the wind hydrodynamics and mass-loss rates.
- To analyse and discuss distances and the Wind-Momentum luminosity relationship.

 $D_{\text{mom}} = \dot{M} v_{\infty} R^{0.5} \propto L^{1/\alpha_{\text{eff}}},$ 





- To compute synthetic spectra
- To calculate the wind hydrodynamics and mass-loss rates.
- To analyse and discuss distances and the Wind-Momentum luminosity relationship.

To dérive stellar parameter of peculiar (emission line) stars: such as Be and B[e].

$$
D_{\text{mom}} = \dot{M} v_{\infty} R^{0.5} \propto L^{1/\alpha_{\text{eff}}},
$$







### **R\* is the most critical value and sensitive to distance**

 $\bullet$ 

**R\* is the most critical value and sensitive to distance**

● **Eclipsing binaries**





- **Eclipsing binaries**
- **Lunar ocultation**







- **Eclipsing binaries**
- **Lunar ocultation**
- **Interferometry**









**Interferometric measurements** can also derive asymmetric shapes of stellar surfaces if baselines of different orientation are used.

First detection of an oblate photosphere of the fast rotator Altair (Van Belle, 2001)

VLTI measurements of the asymmetric shape of the rotating Be star Achernar (Domiciano de Souza et al., 2003), which it is much flatter than theoretically expected (3/2 of the Roche model)

This particularity is explain by a shellular rotation regime, where the angular velocity is constant on level surfaces, but increases with depth (Zahn et al 2010, A&A 517, 7).

The differential shellular rotation was first invoked by Zorec et al. (2005)



### **Determination of Stellar Parameters (Teff, log g and μ) Spectroscopic Analysis**

Tools



Gizmos - Star

spectra

**Line by line analysis (Ews & line strenghts)**

**Synthetic modelling and fitting with the observed spectrum**

**The BCD classification, based on the Balmer discontinuity**

### **Atmospheric Models (plane parallel approximation)**

- Kurucz (Kurucz et al., 1993)
- MARCS (Gustafsson et al., 2008)
- Tlusty (Lanz & Hubeny 1996) (Teff  $>$  15000 K)
- VLySS (a set of models or an empirical library of objects, Koleva et al. 2009)

Fittings are often based on precalculated grids of synthetic models

All the models depend on the He/H ratio

**FGK solar-type stars (LTE) Line blanketing**

> **OBA-type stars (NLTE) Line lanketing**

### **Stellar parameters**

### ● **SED fittings → T<sub>eff</sub> and log g**

**Teff** (effective temperature) is obtained from the **continuum flux.**

The fittings depend (R\*/D)², A $_{\mathrm{V}}$  = R\* E(B-V)



Based on line-blanketed LTE stellar atmospheres from Kurucz (1979)

$$
F = \frac{f}{\pi} \left(\frac{d}{R}\right)^2 = \frac{4f}{\pi\theta^2}
$$



Fig. 8.  $(B - V)_0$  calibration

 $\blacksquare$ 

$$
\Theta = 0.1692 + 0.2828[u - b] - 0.0195[u - b]^{2}
$$

$$
\Theta = \frac{5040 \text{ K}}{T_{\text{eff}}}
$$

Napiwotzki et al., 1993, A&A, 268, 653 Moon & Dworetsky, 1985, MNRAS, 217, 305

#### **BCD Spectrophotometric Classification System**  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , log g, M<sub>bol</sub>, M<sub>v</sub> and A<sub>v</sub> **Balmer Jump**



**Barbier, D., & Chalonge, D. 1941**, Ann. Astrophys., 4, 30 **Chalonge, D., & Divan, L. 1952,** Ann. Astrophys., 15, 201 **Chalonge, D., & Divan, L.** 1973, A&A, 23, 69 **Chalonge, D., & Divan, L. 1977,** A&A, 55, 117



**Fig. A.1.** Graphical explanation of the BCD  $(\lambda_1, D, \Phi_{rb},$  $\Phi_{uv}$ ) parameters.

#### **Barbier, Chalonge & Divan**

### **BCD calibrations**







$$
E(B-V) = 0.68(\Phi_b - \Phi_b^0) = 0.75(\Phi_{bb} - \Phi_{bb}^0)
$$
  

$$
A_v = 2.11(\Phi_b - \Phi_b^0) = 2.33(\Phi_{bb} - \Phi_{bb}^0)
$$

Zorec et al (2009), A&A 501,297 Zorec et al. (2023) Galaxies 11, 18





### **BCD Teff vs. Line models**



Fig. 6. Effective temperatures of dwarfs and giants determined by other authors (ordinates) against the  $T_{\text{eff}}^{f}$  estimates obtained in the present work (abscissa).



Fig. 7. Effective temperatures of supergiant stars determined by other authors (ordinates) against the  $T_{\text{eff}}^{f}$  estimates obtained in the present work (abscissa). The error bars correspond to temperatures inside the ellipse taken from Crowther et al. (2006) (vertical) and in the present work (horizontal). The square with a downward error bar indicates the systematic average shift that the McErlean et al. (1999) data might have.

# **Teff (BCD) vs photometric**



Fig. 1. Comparison of the effective temperatures derived from the BCD system with those obtained photometrically by Glagolevskij (2002), Kochukhov & Bagnulo (2006) and in this work with the integrated flux method.

# **determinations log L**<sup>\*</sup>/L<sub>o</sub> (BCD) vs photometry



Fig. 2. Comparison of the visual absolute magnitudes derived from the BCD system with those derived by Gómez et al. (1998), Glagolevskij (2002) and Kochukhov & Bagnulo (2006). He-weak stars are represented by open symbols and He-strong stars by filled symbols.

#### distance is needed

### **Comparison of log g (BCD) vs evolutionary models**

 $M_{bol}$  and T $_{eff}$  are used with the evolutionary models to derive R\* and M\* This allows us to obtain  $log g_{\text{evol}}$  $M^*$  < 12 Mo and R<sup>\*</sup> < 12 Ro log g<sub>evol</sub> > log g<sub>atm</sub>  $M^* > 20$  Mo and  $R^* > 40$  Ro  $log g_{\text{evol}} < log g_{\text{atm}}$ 

The mass discrepancy problem

**Aidelman et al (2012) A&A 544, 64**



Fig. 13. Estimated  $\log g_{\text{evol}}$  parameters with models of stellar evolution against  $\log g(\lambda_1, D)$  obtained with the BCD calibrations. As in Fig. 12, circles denote stars in NGC 3766, while squares denote stars in NGC 4755. We also indicate the marked stellar masses and radii for which  $\log g_{\text{evol}} > \log g(\lambda_1, D)$  and those where  $\log g_{\text{evol}} < \log g(\lambda_1, D)$ . The evolutionary models used to infer stellar masses are those by Ekström et al. (2012) without rotation.

**New results** 

**Boller & Chivens Spectrographs**

**Spectral range 3500 Å y 5000 Å. R=1000 -2000**



Complejo Astronómico El Leoncito (CASLEO), San Juan, Argentina



Laboratório Nacional de Astrofísica, Brazópolis, Brazil



#### Tartu Observatory, Estonia



Fig. 6. NGC 3114: HR diagram. The isochrone curves are given by Ekström et al. (2012). Probable members of the clusters are denoted in  $\bullet$  (blue) symbols, *pnm* in  $\odot$  (blue), and non-members (nm) in  $\blacksquare$  (grey). Be star cluster members are indicated in  $\triangle$  (red) and Be pnm in  $\triangle$ (red). The stars denoted in  $\square$  symbols have a negative colour gradient excess.



Fig. 15. Number and frequency of stars with and without circumstellar envelopes per spectral subtype in open clusters with different ages: a) between 3 Myr and 10 Myr, b) between 10 Myr and 40 Myr, and c) older than 40 Myr. The plots show a clear trend of the appearance of the Be phenomenon with age.

### **B supergiants To search for stellar disks Second Balmer discontinuity**



#### **For classification purposes and derive stellar parameters**



### **Supergigantes B[e]**





Cochetti et al. (2020), Kraus (2019), Oksala et al. (2013).

University of Hawaii, Institute for Astronomy

 $\overline{z}$ 

 $E \leftarrow$ 

Créditos de Imagen: Observatorio GEMINI, Daniel Potter

### **Herbig Ae/Be**



#### **To study the temperature law across the surface**

**Preliminary results**

**The binary system Algol (β Per).** We acquired 22517 low-resolution spectra between September 2015 and February 2016 using the 1.5-m telescope AZT-12 of the Tartu Observatory (Folsom et al. 2022). Time-sequenced spectra were averaged over 5-minute intervals, reducing the observed sample to 856 spectra.

The  $BCD'$  spectral classification allows to give a detail description of the temperature around the orbits

A limb darkening effect is seen over the stellar surface of the hot companion

Cidale, Aret, et al 2024, in preparation.





### **Massive stars with stellar winds**

**Diagnostic** lines

#### The  $T_{\text{eff}}$  is obtained from the ionization balance; e.g.: **Si II/Si III**/**Si IV He I/He II**

- Uncertainties Teff of 300–500 K the Si $\ell$ ionisation balance and  $/1000$  K for the He lines.
- Fitting procedure: "by eye"

Earlean et al. 1999 Urbaneja et al. 2005 Crowther et al 2006 Lefever et al. 2007 Searle et al. 2008 Markova & Puls 2008 Haucke et al., 2018, A&A 614, A91 Weßmayer et al., 2022, A&A, 668, A92



Fig. 1. Some illustrative examples of spectra used in this work, ordered by spectral type. Three different spectral windows depict the wavelength ranges in which the main diagnostic lines used to obtain estimates of the spectroscopic parameters are located. Vertical colored red, cyan, and brown bars indicate the corresponding H<sub>I</sub>, He  $_{1}$ - $_{II}$ , and S<sub>i</sub>  $_{II}$ - $_{III}$ -rv lines, respectively (see Sect. 3.2.3) for further details).

Burgos et al. 2024

### **Continuum Vs Spectral lines**

The result could be different because the forming regions are different

**Spectral lines** form in the **upper** photosphere

The **continuum** form in the **lower** photosphere

**log g** (gravity) from **spectral lines** or the **Balmer jump**

### **Well-known NLTE wind codes**

**Mihalas, Kunasz, and Hummer (1975)** presented a method for solving the line-formation problem using full comoving-frame formulation of the radiative-transfer equation for the case of spherically symmetric atmospheres expanding with arbitrarily large velocities. It initiated the development of numerical modeling methods and codes.

**Hauschildt (1992)** – fast method for the solution of the radiative transfer equation in rapidly moving spherical media, based on an approximate Λ-operator iteration



### $log q$ ,  $\mu$  and micro (5-10 km s<sup>-1</sup>), and macro (15-25 km s<sup>-1</sup>) velocities

### Line spectral models

The surface gravity (log g) is mainly defined by Hγ and Hδ lines.



Haucke et al. (2018), A&A 614, A91

#### **Analysis for 527 stars with O9 – B5 collected from IACOB database.**



Fig. 14. sHR diagram of the stars in the sample color-coded by the windstrength parameter. The bottom and right sub-panels in each panel show this quantity against  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log  $\mathcal{L}$ , respectively. Cases in which log Q is degenerate are excluded (see Sect.  $[4.1]$ ). All panels include 191 O-type stars from Hol18-22 indicated with gray circles. Evolutionary tracks are the same as in Fig. 7.



Fig. 15. Same as Fig.  $\overline{14}$  but color-code representing the different shapes of the H $\alpha$  line as classified in de Burgos et al. (2023) see also labels within the bottom right inset).

**The atmospheric parameters were obtained using FASTWIND synthetic spectra in combination with a Markov chain Monte Carlo Method.**



Fig. 5. Comparison of the results of the  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log g with previous studies in the literature. Acronyms follow those in Table  $\frac{4}{7}$  The error bars in the bottom right corners indicate the average uncertainty from our analysis (vertical axis) or from the literature (horizontal axis) except those from Weßmayer et al. (2022) for which a separate error bar in pink has been included. The two shaded areas indicate a difference in  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log g of 1000 K and 0.1 dex, and 2000 K and 0.2 dex, respectively. The diagonal black line indicates the 1-to-1 agreement.

### **Linear relationship log Teff-log g for B supergiants**





Haucke et al. (2018), A&A 614, A91

### **Wind Parameters**

Massive stars have non-negligible stellar winds with mass-loss rates in the order of 10<sup>-8</sup> −10<sup>-7</sup> M<sub>o</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> Once all the stellar parameters are obatined we have a photospheric model which is

a boundary condition to compute a wind model

- A velocity law for the wind a hydrodynamical solution a β-law
- A temperature law for the wind (Radiative equilibrium isothermal winds)
- Mass-loss rate  $Q = \dot{M}/(R \star V_{\infty})^{1.5}$
- Q, the wind-strength parameter (log Q), is a combination of mass-loss rate, wind terminal velocity and stellar radius (Puls et al. 1996, 2005).

A&A 614, A91 (2018)



Fig. 1. Ha line and the best-fitting synthetic model. For HD 53138, HD 58350, HD 75149, HD 80077, HD 99953, and HD 111973 more than one plot are shown due to multi-epoch observations.

The shape and strength of the Hα profile can provide constraints simultaneously on the wind acceleration β and the wind-strength parameter Q.

### **Mass-loss Recipes**

#### **Radiative mass-loss rate by Vink et al. (2000)**

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|} \hline & \log \dot{M} = -6.697(\pm 0.061) + 2.194(\pm 0.021) \log \left(L_*/10^5\right) \\[1mm] \hline & -1.313(\pm 0.046) \log (M_*/30) - 1.226(\pm 0.037) \log \left(\frac{v_{\infty}/v_{\rm esc}}{2}\right) \\[1mm] \hline & +0.933(\pm 0.064) \log (T_{\rm eff}/40000) - 10.92(\pm 0.90) \{\log (T_{\rm eff}/40000)\}^2 \\[1mm] \hline & \log \dot{M} = -6.688(\pm 0.080) + 2.210(\pm 0.031) \log \left(L_*/10^5\right) \\[1mm] \hline & -1.339(\pm 0.068) \log (M_*/30) - 1.601(\pm 0.055) \log \left(\frac{v_{\infty}/v_{\rm esc}}{2}\right) \\[1mm] \hline & +1.07(\pm 0.10) \log (T_{\rm eff}/20000) \\[1mm] \hline \end{array} \hspace{1mm} \begin{array}{|l|l|} \hline & \text{these ratios are used in} \\[1mm] \
$$

### **Mass-loss Recipes**



Cidale, L. S., et al.: A&A, 677, A176 (2023)



#### **55 Cyg**

Mass-loss variations of a factor of two in 22 days.

IR spectroscopy. Fittings to the Brα line.

Appel code (Mihalas & Kunasz (1998)

Fig. 8. Best-fitting models to the Bra and Hu<sub>14</sub> emission observed in 2013 and 2015. Observations are traced in black, and models are in solid red lines. The mass-loss rate used to model the lines is indicated in each plot.

 $\mathbb{Z}$ 



Different recipes for the mass-loss rates of  $\odot$  and B stars

. Panei<sup>1,2</sup>, F. Figueroa-Tapia<sup>3</sup>, M. Curé<sup>3,4</sup>, I. Araya<sup>5</sup>, L. S. Cidale<sup>1,2</sup>, R. O. J. Venero<sup>1,2</sup>, and A. C. Gormaz-Matamala<sup>3,6,7</sup>



#### **Stellar Wind Parameter Determination through Modeling IR Line Profiles in B-type Supergiants**

L. V. Mercanti<sup>1,2</sup> · L. S. Cidale<sup>1,2</sup> · A. F. Torres<sup>1,2</sup> · M. L. Arias<sup>1,2</sup> · R. O. J. Venero<sup>1,2</sup> · O. Maryeva<sup>3</sup> · M. Kraus<sup>3</sup>



### **Are the models unique?**





### **Determination of parameters of the environments**



Emission molecular emission & forbidden lines

The post-main sequence evolution of massive stars lose a significant amount of mass that leads to molecular discs. Model with rings! CPD-52 9243



 $11 -$ 

 $2.0$ 

 $-11-$ 

7.8 AU

### **Final Remarks**

- We describe the use of various methods to derive fundamental parameters.
- $\mathbf{\hat{P}}$  The combination of different methods is always positive, but it could provide values that are not self consistent.
- \*\* The BCD method has clear advantages and very well known limitation. We report supergiants with discs and variation of the temperature over the stellar disc.
- Investigations of the mass-loss and the circumstellar environment of evolved stars benefit from synergies of optical/infrared spectroscopy.
- \* Lack of unicity in the wind modelling.
- Mass loss variability and its effects on stellar evolution.

# **Thank you**



**International Conference Physics of Extreme Massive Stars** 

> 24 - 28 June 2024 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

