Spectroscopic follow-up of Hot Jupiters

Research workshop on evolved stars

Veronika Schaffenroth

27.08.2024

Thüringer Landessternwarte Email: schaffenroth@tls-tautenburg.de



Expectations for exoplanet systems

Before 1995 expectations based on solar system example



© Marc Garlick

- 1. Planets in circular orbits
- 2. Planets in prograde orbits (same direction as star rotates)
- 3. Planet orbits are in the same plane
- 4. Giant planets in the outer parts
- 5. Rocky planets in the inner parts
- 6. No giant planets beyond 30 AU
- 7. No rocky planets inside 0.4 AU
- 8. Satellites should be common, especially for giant planets
- 9. Rings around Giant planets should be common

It is dangerous to base a theory on one example:

- Ptolemaic system could explain the motions of the planets better than Copernicus (who only used circular orbits) but it was wrong
- Limited parameter space can give you the wrong impression

Main questions:

- How do planetary systems form?
- How many planets are there in our Galaxy? (common or an infrequent event)
- How unique are the properties of our own solar system? (Does the Earth have life because of the unique properties of our solar system?)
- How diverse are planets? (Do planetary systems all look the same, or are they like people, very diverse.)

- 1. Objects with true masses below the limiting mass for thermonuclear fusion of deuterium (currently calculated to be 13 Jupiter masses for objects of solar metallicity) that orbit stars, brown dwarfs or stellar remnants and that have a mass ratio with the central object below the L_4/L_5 instability ($M/M_{central} < 2/(25 + \sqrt{621}) \approx 1/25$) are "planets" (no matter how they formed). The minimum mass/size required for an extrasolar object to be considered a planet should be the same as that used in our Solar System.
- 2. Substellar objects with true masses above the limiting mass for thermonuclear fusion of deuterium are "brown dwarfs", no matter how they formed nor where they are located.
- 3. Free-floating objects in young star clusters with masses below the limiting mass for thermonuclear fusion of deuterium are not "planets", but are "sub-brown dwarfs" (or whatever name is most appropriate).

"A non-fusor in orbit around a fusor"

- ightarrow sub-brown dwarfs usually called free-floating planetary mass objects
- \rightarrow deuterium-burning limit is for solar metallicity
- ightarrow question of lower mass limit still open: exocomets and asteroids observed

Mass as the defining characteristic





Star: Has sufficient mass to fuse hydrogen to helium.

 $M\gtrsim 80~{
m M}_{2_{
m +}}$

Brown Dwarf: Has sufficient mass to fuse hydrogen to helium.

 $13\,\mathrm{M}_{2_{\!+}} \lesssim M \lesssim 80\,\mathrm{M}_{2_{\!+}}$

Planet: Has sufficient mass to fuse hydrogen to helium.

 $M\lesssim 13\,{
m M}_{2}$



Classes of planet

Classification by Planet size:

- Earth-size, or terrestrial planets (< $1.25\,R_\oplus$),
- super-Earth-size $(1.25 2 R_{\oplus})$,
- Neptune-size ($2-6\, R_\oplus$),
- Jupiter-size (6 15 R $_{\oplus}$).
- Classification by Planet mass:
 - sub-Earths (10^{-8} 0.1 $M_\oplus),$
 - Earths (0.1 $2 \,\text{M}_\oplus$),
 - super-Earths (2 $-10\,M_\oplus$),
 - Neptunes (10 100 $M_\oplus),$
 - Jupiters (100 1000 M $_{\oplus}$),
 - super-Jupiters (1000 $M_\oplus -$ 13 $M_{2\!\!+}),$
 - brown dwarfs (13 $M_{2\!+}-0.07\,M_{\odot}).$
- not universally-accepted "definitions", other boundaries have also been adopted

Classes of planets: Jupiter mass planets in short orbits



- hot Jupiters ($a \leq 0.1$ au, P = 3 9 d)
- very hot Jupiters (P < 3 d)
- ultra-short-period hot Jupiters
 (P < 1 d)
- warm Jupiters ($a \sim 0.1 1$ au, $P \gtrsim 10$ d)





Hot Neptune GJ486 Mass = 21 M $_{\oplus}$ P = 2.6 d

Hot Super-Earth CoRoT-7b Mass = 7.4 M_{\oplus} P = 0.85 d (transit discovery)

Hot Earth Kepler 78b Mass = $1.3 M_{\oplus}$ P = 0.35 d

(transit discovery)

Standard model for Formation of the Solar System



A star (sun) forms from a proto-cloud collapsing due to gravity

The cloud rotates so it collapses into a disk

To collapse the cloud must lose angular momentum, carried away via jets

Standard model for Formation of the Solar System

protoplanetary disk out of which planets form:



Low temperatures allow condensing planets to include volatile molecules such as H_2O , NH_3 and CH_4

~98% of the nebula is hydrogen and helium which do not condense

Formation of giant planets: Core accretion



Planetesimals collide and form a core



And you form a core



When the core reaches a mass of ~ 10 M_{earth} its gravity can start to accrete gas (H, He)

Formation of giant planets: Core accretion



Cool disk, lots of ices and solid particles, easy to form a 10 M_\oplus core. Lots of

gas, easy to form a gaseous envelope: giant planets

Formation of rocky planets

inside ice line at $\sim 3~\text{AU}$



Hot disk, only solid materials with high melting points (fewer planetesimals), little

gas. Can only form small, rocky planets

Formation of hot Jupiters

Protoplanetary Disk



Cool disk, lots of ices and solid particles, easy to form a 10 M_{earth} core. Lots of gas, easy to form a gaseous envelope: giant planets

Ice line at ~ 3 AU

giant planets are formed beyond the ice line in the protoplanetary disk at ~ 3 AU where there is enough solid material to form a $13M_\oplus$ core which can accrete H and He gas

Orbital Migration

(forming) planets interact gravitationally with the disk (and other planets), and may move from where they form(ed), sometimes a lot

- (1) type I migration: relatively low-mass planets (e.g. $\sim 1 M_{\oplus}$) do not significantly alter surface density profile $\Sigma(R)$ but material concentrates asymmetrically in resonances and exerts torque causing migration
- (2) type II migration: high-mass planets ($\sim~1\,M_{2\!+})$ open gaps and launch strong spiral arms that exert torque.
- (3) Planet-planet interaction can significantly alter orbits of planets on timescales of $\gg 1$ orbit







Once the giant planet at ~ 5 AU forms, it opens a gap in the protoplanetary disk (above). Tidal interactions causes the planet to lose angular momentum and spiral into the star.

New problems: What stops the migration and why did our own Jupiter stay where it formed?

Indirect Techniques

- Radial Velocity (Doppler Method)
- Astrometry
- Transits
- Microlensing

Direct Techniques

- Spectroscopy/Photometry: Reflected or Radiated light
- Imaging

All of these techniques have successfully discovered a planet, or detected a known plane

$$f(m) = \frac{M_2^3 \sin^3 i}{(M_1 + M_2)^2} = \frac{PK_1^3}{2\pi G} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{V_{\text{rad}}}{C}$$

RV method measures the mass of the exoplanet



Requirements:

- Accuracy of better than 10 m/s
- Stability for at least 10 Years

transit method measures the radius of the exoplanet



How to search for Exoplanets – Astrometric measurements



 $ightarrow \Delta \sim 1/D$ with D the distance to the star

ightarrow only possible for nearby stars (8 mas for Jupiter around lpha Cen)

How to search for Exoplanets – Microlensing





 \rightarrow planet 1,000,000 times fainter, separation \sim arcsec \rightarrow easier for large orbital radii and massive planets

Exoplanet Discovery Space



Exoplanet Discovery Space



Mass determination in binaries

To determine stellar (or planetary) masses, use Kepler's 3rd law:

$$\frac{(a_1 + a_2)^3}{P^2} = \frac{G}{4\pi^2}(m_1 + m_2)$$

where

- *M*_{1,2}: masses
- P: period
- $a_{1,2}$ semimajor axis

Observational quantities:

- *P* directly measurable
- a measurable from image *if and only if* distance to binary and the inclination are known

Spectroscopic binaries



For spectroscopic binaries: can only measure radial velocity along line of sight For circular orbit, angle θ on orbit:

 $\theta = \omega t$

where $\omega = 2\pi/P$. Observed radial velocity:

 $V_{\rm r} = V \cos(\omega t)$

If orbit has inclination i, then

$$V_{\rm r}(t) = V \sin i \cos(\omega t) = K \cos(\omega t)$$

From observation of $v_r(t) \implies v \sin i = K$. ("velocity amplitude")

Double-lined spectra, case SB2

Assume circular orbit (e = 0)

- K_1, K_2 velocity half amplitudes of components 1 & 2
- *P* orbital period

 $2\pi a_{1/2}$ orbital radii of components 1 & 2

$$K_{1/2} = \frac{2\pi a_{1/2}}{P} \sin i$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{1/2} \sin i = \frac{P}{2\pi}K_{1/2}$$

again sin *i* remains in-determined

Spectroscopic binaries

centre of mass law:

$$\frac{M_1}{M_2} = \frac{a_2}{a_1} = \frac{K_2}{K_1}$$

Kepler's third law:

$$M_1 + M_2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{GP^2}a^3,$$

$$a = a_1 + a_2 = \frac{P}{2\pi}(K_1 + K_2) / \sin i$$

$$\implies M_1 + M_2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{GP^2} \frac{P^3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(K_1 + K_2)^3}{(\sin i)^3} (\star)$$

$$\implies M_1 + M_2 = \frac{P}{2\pi G} \frac{(K_1 + K_2)^3}{(\sin i)^3}$$

 $(M_1 + M_2)(\sin i)^3 = \frac{P}{2\pi G}(K_1 + K_2)^3$

 \implies two equations for three unknowns ($M_1 + M_2$, sin *i*), sin *i* can only be determined for eclipsing binaries

Mass determination of a planet in an (exo-)planetary system

planet is invisible, so we can only measure the velocity of the star about the center of mass of the system

Using:

- Circular Orbit
- Star is much more massive than the planet

$$V_{\rm obs} = \frac{28.4 m_{\rm p} \sin i}{P^{1/3} m_{\rm s}^{2/3}}$$

 $m_{\rm p}$ in Jupiter masses, $m_{\rm s}$ in solar masses, P in years, V in m/s

```
Exercise for the reader: Derive this!
```

Velocity of the Sun around the Solar System Barycenter



Planet	Mass (M _J)	<i>V</i> (m/s)
Mercury	$1.74 imes 10^{-4}$	0.008
Venus	$2.56 imes 10^{-3}$	0.086
Earth	$3.15 imes 10^{-3}$	0.089
Mars	$3.38 imes 10^{-4}$	0.008
Jupiter	1.0	12.4
Saturn	0.299	2.75
Uranus	0.046	0.297
Neptune	0.054	0.281



Need to know:

- Latitude and longitude of observatory
- Height above sea level

Earth's rotation can contribute ± 460 m/s (maximum)

- 1. High spectral resolution
 - Easier to detect a Doppler Shift
- 2. Large wavelength coverage
 - More spectral lines for a measurement
- 3. High Signal-to-noise ratio data
 - High quality data
- 4. Simultaneous wavelength calibration
 - Eliminating instrumental shifts

High spectral resolution



Consider two monochromatic beams

They will just be resolved when they have a wavelength separation of $d\lambda$

Resolving power:

$$R = \frac{\lambda}{\mathsf{d}\lambda}$$

 $d\lambda$ = full width of half maximum of calibration lamp emission lines



- Each line gives you a measurement of the Doppler shift with an error
- Use 100 lines and your error is 10 times lower RV error is proportional to $1/\sqrt{N_{\text{lines}}}$



Influence of the noise





Velocity error as a function of S/N



How does the radial velocity precision depend on all parameters?

$$\sigma$$
(m/s) = Constant × (S/N)⁻¹ R ^{-1.2}($\Delta\lambda$)^{-1/2}

 $\sigma \text{: error}$

R: spectral resolving power

S/N: signal to noise ratio

 $\Delta\lambda$ wavelength coverage of spectrograph in Angstroms

 $C \approx 8.2 \times 10^9$

TLS Echelle Spectrograph:	Pucheros Spectrograph:
2m telescope	1.52m telescope
R = 67,000	R = 15,000
$\Delta \lambda = 5000$	$\Delta \lambda = 3500$
S/N = 200	S/N = 200
$\sigma pprox 3 \mathrm{m/s}$	$\sigma pprox$? m/s

Wavelength calibration



Х

On a detector we only measure x- and y- positions, there is no information about wavelength. For this we need a calibration source

Solution 1: simultaneous observation of calibration source (Th-Ar)



Stellar spectrum \rightarrow

Thorium-Argon calibration \rightarrow

Spectrographs: CORALIE, ELODIE, HARPS

Solution 2: Gas Absorption Cells



Solution 2: Gas Absorption Cells – Iodine

Star observed through an Iodine cell



one high S/N spectrum without lodine cell necessary for comparison, rest of measurements through lodine cell \rightarrow more details in Jana's talk about VIPER

Radial velocity accuracy for different kind of stars

With different kind of stars you can reach different RV accuracy



Early-type stars have few spectral lines (high effective temperatures) and high rotation rates.



From Gray (1982)



Radial velocity accuracy for different kind of stars

Decrease in number of (useful) lines with Effective Temperature



A star with $T_{\rm eff}$ = 8000 K will have nearly 9 times less useful spectral lines than a star at $T_{\rm eff}$ = 5000 K. The RV measurement error for the hot star will be ~ 3 times greater

Including dependence on stellar parameters

$$\sigma$$
(m/s) = 8.2 × 10⁹ × (S/N)⁻¹R^{-1.2}($\Delta\lambda$)^{-1/2} f(V) g(T)

f(V) and g(T) are the effects due to the star

 $f(V) = 0.62 + (0.21 \log R - 0.86)V + (0.00260 - 0.0103)V^2$

With R = resolving power of spectrograph and V = rotational velocity of the star (v sin i) in km/s

 $g(T) = 0.16e^{1.79(T/5000)}$

T = effective temperature of the star



Just take lots of measurements!





- Transiting Planet
- A5 Star
- T = 8100 K, $v_{rot} = 90$ km/s

7047 confirmed planets:

- spectroscopic follow-up to determine/improve mass determination of the exoplanet
- strategy to find best targets
 - \rightarrow stellar magnitude (< 11 mag)
 - \rightarrow orbital period
 - ightarrow accuracy of spectrograph
 - \rightarrow RV amplitude
- important to cover the orbital period with enough data points

Project:

- \Rightarrow Do spectral follow-up of a hot Jupiter using Pucheros at the E152m telescope in La Silla with an Iodine cell
- \Rightarrow Determine RVs
- \Rightarrow Fit RV curve
- \Rightarrow Derive stellar parameters/ mass
- \Rightarrow Determine (minimum) planet mass

PUCHEROS@ESO 1.52-m

Fibre-fed Echelle-spectrograph Wavelength range: 390-740nm Resolution: 15000 Calibration with ThAr lamp, not simultaneously, since a few months additonally with lodine cell maximum RV-accuracy: 50 m/s with only ThAr 10-20 m/s with iodine cell

Remote operation, later robotic. 80% observing time goes to the consortium.









Determining periods - Fourier transformation

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
import math
#you'll probably need to install the following two packages
#e.g. with "pip3 install astropy"
import astropy
import lightkurve as lk
```

```
#read-in data and convert to lightkurve format
data=np.loadtxt('data.txt')
lc=lk.LightCurve(time=data[:,0],flux=data[:,1],
flux_err=data[:,2])
```

```
#Lomb-Scargle periodogram to determine most likely period
pg=lc.to_periodogram(oversample_factor=100,minimum_period=0.1,
maximum_period=10,normalization='amplitude',ls_method='auto')
```

ax1=pg.plot(view='period')
print('period:',pg.period_at_max_power.value)

#Phase-fold RV curve
period=pg.period_at_max_power.value
#period from highest peak in Lomb-Scargle
lc_fold=lc.fold(period,epoch_time=0.0)

#save phase-folded RV curve
x=lc_fold.time.value/period
y=lc_fold.flux
yerr=lc_fold.flux_err

RadVEI – The Radial Velocity Fitting Toolkit (example control file, data)

Parameters:

- starname name of star
- *nplanets* Number of planets
- instnames list of instruments
- pern orbital period of nth planet
- *tc*n time of inferior conjunction
- en eccentricity
- wn argument of periastron
- kn velocity semi-amplitude
- *gamma_x* velocity zero-point for instrument x
- *jit_x* jitter for instrument x
- params["param"].vary = False keep parameter fixed while fitting
- *priors* set limits for parameters
- stellar = dict(mstar=1.12, mstar_err= 0.05) stellar mass

- maximum-likelihood fit radvel fit -s control_file.py
- plot best-fit solution
 radvel plot -t rv -s control file.py
- perform Markov-Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) exploration to assess parameter uncertainties
 - *radvel mcmc -s* control_file.py
- update plot with MCMC results radvel plot -t rv corner trend -s control_file.py
- combine fit of RV time-series with properties of host star radvel derive -s control_file.py
- plot of derived parameters
 radvel ic -t nplanets e trend -s control_file.py